

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/506,602	09/10/2004	Shahram Mihan	257502US0PCT	4911
22850	7590 02/23/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			BULLOCK, IN SUK C	
•• ••	RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1764	
			DATE MAIL ED. 02/22/2000	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			N .		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/506,602	MIHAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	In Suk Bullock	1764			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutory reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a Ind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO Inductor of the country o	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	January 2006.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a constant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the constant and the correction of the corr	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ints have been received. Ints have been received in a light in a light in the light	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/10/04.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/506,602

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 5 are objected to because of the following informalities: in Claim 1

(b) R^a should be corrected to read R^A as it appears in the structural formula I, and in claim 5 the charges on Ca and Z should show positive and negative signs as appropriate. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Art Unit: 1764

ì

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over WO 00/58319 (hereinafter "WO '319).

WO '319 discloses a process for oligomerizing alpha-olefins, for example 1-butene, with a catalyst system obtained from: (a) a chromium compound CrX₃ and a ligand L that is derived from 1, 3, 5-triazacyclohexane, for example 1,3,5-tri-n-dodecyl-1,3,5-triazacyclohexanr or from a compound CrX₃L; and at least one activator additive containing an alkyl aluminum compound, for example triethylaluminum and ethyl aluminum dichloride, and a boron compound, for example trispentafluorophenylborane. The ratio of chromium compound to boron compound is between 1:0.1 and 1:10,000.

Application/Control Number: 10/506,602

Art Unit: 1764

See Abstract; page 1, lines 6-27; page 4, lines 35-44; page 8, line 37 to page 9, line 3 and page 9, lines 45-46; and page 12, lines 4-19 and lines 32-38.

It is noted that the reference does not disclose alpha, beta or gamma branching in R substitutents as instantly claimed. However, the reference discloses the same ligand formula as recited in the instant application and, therefore, would inherently have the same characteristic as claimed.

With respect to the claimed molar ratio of boron compound to chromium compound, the range disclosed by the reference overlaps with the claimed range. In the alternative that the reference does not anticipate the claimed range, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have determined the optimum molar ratio of boron compound to chromium compound to achieve most effective desired result.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to In Suk Bullock whose telephone number is 571-272-5954. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 6:00-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/506,602 Page 5

Art Unit: 1764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

I.B.

Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner